

1  
2  
3  
4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6  
7

8 IN RE: MDL Docket No 06-1791 VRW  
9 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY ORDER  
10 TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS  
11 LITIGATION

12 This order pertains to:

13 Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation et  
14 al v Bush et al (C-07-0109 VRW),  
15 \_\_\_\_\_/

16 The United States has sought to appeal as of right,  
17 pursuant to 29 USC § 1291 and also seeks an order certifying the  
18 court's January 5, 2009 order for an interlocutory appeal pursuant  
19 to 28 USC § 1292(b) and staying proceedings in this court pending  
20 the outcome of such an appeal. Doc # 545/60. The stated purpose  
21 of such a stay is "to ensure that no disclosures [of classified  
22 material] occur in the meantime." Doc #560/70 at 6.

23 The United States noticed these motions for April 9,  
24 2009, but at a January 23, 2009 case management conference herein,  
25 the court established a shortened briefing schedule and vacated the  
26 April 9 hearing date pending further orders of the court. Under  
27 the schedule established by the court, the United States' reply  
28 brief was due on February 13. Instead, the United States filed its

1 reply brief on February 11 and included therein the following:

2           The Government respectfully requests that the  
3           Court indicate how it will proceed by 3 pm on  
4           February 13, 2009. In order to protect its  
5           interests, the Government plans to seek relief  
6           from the Ninth Circuit before the close of  
7           business that day in the absence of relief from  
8           this Court.

9 Doc #560/70 at 6-7.

10           First, the January 5 order is not a "final decision" and,  
11           therefore, not appealable pursuant to 28 USC § 1291. Second, the  
12           court is fully aware of its obligations with regard to classified  
13           information. The court's January 5 order stated that it would  
14           prioritize two interests: "protecting classified evidence from  
15           disclosure and enabling plaintiffs to prosecute their action." Doc  
16           #537/57 at 23. The court then entered orders designed to make it  
17           possible for the court to determine whether plaintiffs had been  
18           subject to unlawful electronic surveillance and, crucially, to  
19           enter an order under seal regarding the outcome of that  
20           determination. To that end, the January 5 order provided for  
21           plaintiffs' counsel to obtain top secret/sensitive compartmented  
22           information security clearances.

23           The court understands that the background investigation  
24           of two of plaintiffs' counsel have been completed and "favorably  
25           adjudicated" although clearances for these individuals have not  
26           been issued. At the January 23 hearing herein, the court stated:

27           I have no intention of reviewing the sealed  
28           document [containing classified information] until  
29           we get all of these pieces in place so that we can  
30           proceed in a judicial fashion; and by that I mean a  
31           fashion in which both parties have access to the  
32           material upon which the court makes a decision.

33 RT (Doc #532) at 34.

1           The court seeks from the government implementation of the  
2 steps necessary to afford that "both parties have access to the  
3 material upon which the court makes a decision." That is the  
4 procedure the January 5 order seeks to put in place. That order  
5 is, therefore, entirely interlocutory and an "immediate appeal will  
6 not materially advance ultimate termination of the litigation." An  
7 appeal under 28 USC § 1292(b) and stay are not appropriate and are,  
8 therefore, DENIED.

9           The government is DIRECTED not later than February 27,  
10 2009 to inform the court how it intends to comply with the January  
11 5 order.

12  
13           IT IS SO ORDERED.

14  
15 

16           \_\_\_\_\_  
17 VAUGHN R WALKER  
18 United States District Chief Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28